NMEQIP FY 2005 Ranking CriteriaWorksheet GrazingLands - AZTEC F.O.

Applicant:	Farm No	Tract No CMS Field No's		Date:	
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land		Preliminary Rating	Final Rating	

1. Plants - _75_ Potential Points (25-50% of Total)33%

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contrac Treatmen		% Area in Contrac Treatment.		Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
Grazing Plan:	Intensive					30		
J	Seasonal					20		
	Continuous					0		
Deferment	>90% of Growing Season					20		
	51-90% of Growing	Seaosn				10		
	26-50% of Growing	Season				5		
	0-25% of Growing S	eason				0		
	No change in this section will make this project ineligible for consideration.							
Rate:	>5.5/aum					25		
	4-5.5 Acres/aum					20		
	2.9-3.9 Acres/aum					10		
	<2.9 Acres/aum					0		
				1.	Plants	Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 120_ Potential Points (25-65% of Total)52%

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.) Potential	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil Erosion (Gully Erosion and Deposition)			
Sediment Basins (350)	5		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410)	5		
Range Planting (550)	10		
Brush Management (314)	20		
Fencing (382)	20		
Water Quantity (Inadequate livestock supply)			
Spring Development (547)	10		
Pond (378)	10		
Well (642)	20		
Livestock Pipeline and Storage (516 & 614)	10		
Water Quality (Surface Water Contaminants)			
Well (642)	20		
Livestock Pipeline and Storage (516 & 614)	10		
Fencing (382)	20		
Air (Dust)			
Range Planting (550)	10		
Plants (Suitability, Condition Productivity and Management)			
Pest Management (Weed Control of Herbaceous noxious and Invasive weeds)(595)	10		
Range Planting (550)	10		
Fencing (382)	20		
Brush Management (314)	20		
Animals (Habitat and Management)			
Range Planting (550)	10		
Fencing (382)	20		
2. Conservation Practice Selectio	n Total:		

NMEQIP FY 2005 Ranking CriteriaWorksheet GrazingLands - AZTEC F.O.

3. Other Considerations - 35 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)15%						
Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	mark	After Points			
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	20					
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	15					
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	NA					
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.						
3. Other Considerations						
Designated Conservationist Date		Revised	Nov. 2003			